Bearsden
A Roman Fort on the Antonine Wall

David J Breeze

ISBN: 978-1-908332-08-0 (hardback) • 978-1-908332-18-9 (PDF)

The text in this work is published under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommerical 4.0 International licence (CC BY-NC 4.0). This licence allows you to share, copy, distribute and transmit the work and to adapt the work for non-commercial purposes, providing attribution is made to the authors (but not in any way that suggests that they endorse you or your use of the work). Attribution should include the following information:


Important: The illustrations and figures in this work are not covered by the terms of the Creative Commons licence. Permissions must be obtained from third-party copyright holders to reproduce any of the illustrations.

Every effort has been made to obtain permissions from the copyright holders of third-party material reproduced in this work. The Society of Antiquaries of Scotland would be grateful to hear of any errors or omissions.
Chapter 6

BRICK AND TILE

6.1 INTRODUCTION
Dennis B. Gallagher

The excavations produced a total of 115.45 kg of ceramic building material, most of which was associated with the bath-house. The main concentration was found in the pits immediately west of the bath-house changing room. There was, however, a scatter of fragments across the fort. Placing aside deposits of less than three fragments, there were seven fragments in building 1, 14 on the east intervallum, 20 at the west end of building 3, 30 in and around building 4 (the north granary), and 85 in the area of ‘building’ 16 with a further six on the intervallum to its east. It is not surprising that so many fragments came from what appears to have been an industrial area, building 16, nor that some were found with the other material apparently dumped on the east intervallum of the fort. The more interesting collection is from the north granary and the fragments from the adjacent area to the north may be related. The implication is that the granary had a tile roof. The much smaller area of the south granary which was excavated provided only one fragment.

Most of the tile was in a soft orange-red fabric, with a grey core, similar to pottery Fabric 4. There was a small amount in a
Illustration 6.2
The curved bricks.

Illustration 6.3
Photograph of the curved bricks.
hard buff-coloured fabric and several brick fragments in a very hard purple/red fabric.

6.2 TYPES
All the ceramic building material was very fragmented and no complete tiles were recovered. The fragmentary nature of the material meant that it was difficult to distinguish with certainty between box tile and tegula.

Imbrices
Only a small fragment of a possible imbrex was noted in the ceramic building material. One must conclude either all the imbrices were removed before demolition or, more likely, that the roof construction consisted only of overlapping tegulae.

Tegulae
No complete tegula was found; restored examples had a length of 302±mm and a maximum width of 154mm.

Box Flue (tubulum)
About 350 fragments of box flue were recovered, with the exception of five, all from the bath-house area (illus 6.1). Restored fragments indicated a length of 302mm. Widths varied between 132 and 145mm at the front, and back and 104 and 111mm at the sides. The average thickness of the fabric was 12mm. Many fragments were incised with a lattice pattern, to provide a key for mortar. This keying was mostly produced in a series of single lines, using with a narrow implement such as a stick. Another method involved the use of a comb; three and four-toothed combs are recorded.

Unflanged tile
Restored tiles measured 290mm by 280mm, with a thickness of 30±35mm. The estimated weight of a single floor tile was 4.2kg. A total of 41.34kg was recovered during the excavation, which represents about ten tiles, a fraction of the number needed for the bath-house. The similarity of width with that of the box flue suggests that they were designed to fit as infill tiles between the
stone voussoirs, as part of the vault structure. Tiles of a similar width, 303mm, which may have served the same purpose were found at Stanton Low (Woodfield & Woodfield 1989: 250). There were also a number of fragments of smaller, unflanged tile in a hard buff fabric.

**Curved brick**

The brick and tile assemblage included a number of distinctive curved bricks which were likely to have been architectural elements such as door or window surrounds (illus 6.2 and 6.3). Their total weight of the fragments was 5.8kg. They were in two widths; one fragment had a thickness of c 120mm, while the others were c 60mm. A projected reconstruction of the largest fragment (illus 6.2.1) indicates that it could form an arch with a 0.58m interior span. It had one flat face, which was probably intended to be seated flush against a wall. This fragment was coated with soot, which may indicate that it may have been part of a stoke hole or situated in the upper part of the heating system, although it could have occurred after the building was dismantled. This and a smaller example (illus 6.2.4) were indented with numerous sockets where the potter had thrust his fingers deeply into the brick, possibly as a seating for plaster. The smaller fragment may have been part of a different opening or, if situated with the former example, it could have formed an inner moulding. Similar curved fragments with depressions are recorded from the bath-house at Wiggonholt, Sussex, now in the collection of Worthing Museum (E Black, pers comm). Here, the furnace flue was lined with tile, of which only the lower courses survived (Winbolt & Goodchild 1940: 58).

**Other brick**

There were a few fragments of brick which were thicker in width than the normal floor tile. Some were in a hard purple-red fabric. Their total weight was 3.1kg.

### 6.3 Signature Marks

**Stamps**

Two stamped tiles were found. One was a fragment of a tegula stamped on the edge with a cross motif (illus 6.4.5). The other was a fragment of a box flue with a T-shape impression near its vent (illus 6.4.6). It had a maximum thickness 12mm. It was a deep, sharply defined impression, possibly made by a metal instrument; a letter y was noted in a similar position on a box tile from Beaufort Park, Sussex (Brodribb 1979b: 149–50).

**Signatures**

A fragment of a box tile was incised with a cross composed of three strokes (illus 6.4.7). This signature is identical to that incised on a stone voussior from the bath-house (5.2.4.76).

**Graffiti**

There were several examples of deliberate graffiti. These included a fragment of a tegula lightly incised with a letter S (illus 6.4.8), with a maximum thickness of 30mm; a tegula fragment with a lightly incised triangular mark, possibly a letter A (illus 6.4.10); and a fragment of a tegula lightly incised possibly with the letters TI (illus 6.4.9). There were examples of concentric grooving with fingers on a tegula, possibly a personal mark by the potter (ills 6.4.11); this form of concentric marking is very common on British tegulae (Brodribb 1979a: 215–16).

**Faunal**

Faunal impressions included part of a shoe print, with hobnails (NK73CO; annexe, south-west of the bath-house) (illus 6.4.13). The dense nail pattern is typical of military footwear, as seen in the shoe fragments from the site (19.1). There was one example of a dog pawprint (NK73CN; bath-house, unstratified) (illus 6.4.12).